



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
FILLMORE FIELD OFFICE

35 East 500 North
Fillmore, UT 84631



In Reply Refer to:
3800

(U-010)

UTU-078268-5/23/064

UTU-078271-5/27/085

March 25, 2003

CERTIFIED MAIL #7001 1940 0004 6456 0229
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

RECEIVED

MAR 27 2003

DECISION

JACK ROBERTS

DBA

THREE GUYS ROCK AND GEM

PO BOX 356

FILLMORE UT 84631

NOTICE UTU-078268

NOTICE UTU-078271

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

Notice Expired-Reclamation Satisfactorily Completed

The Surface Management Regulations, 43 CFR 3809.333, require that an operator wishing to extend his/her notice which was on file as of January 20, 2001, notify the BLM in writing on or before the expiration date, January 20, 2003, and meet the financial guarantee requirements of 43 CFR 3809.503. You did not request an extension of UTU-078268 or UTU-078271. Therefore these notices expired on January 20, 2003.

An inspection of the site subject to your notice on March 20, 2003 revealed that reclamation has been satisfactorily completed. There is no reclamation obligation remaining at this site. This acknowledgement of completion of reclamation work does not release or waive any claim the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) or other persons may have against any person under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq., or under any other applicable statutes or regulations.

If you do not agree and are adversely affected by this decision, in accordance with 43 CFR 3809.804, you may request that the Utah BLM State Director review this decision. If you request a State Director review, the request must be received in the Utah BLM State Office, P.O. Box 45155, Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0155, no later than 30 calendar days after you receive this decision. A copy of the request must also be sent to this office. The request must be in accordance with the provisions provided in 43 CFR 3809.805. If a State Director review is requested, this decision will remain in effect while the State Director review is pending, unless a stay is granted by the State Director. Standards for obtaining a stay are given below. If you request a stay, you have the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

If the State Director does not make a decision on your request for review of this decision within 21 days of BLM's receipt of the request, you should consider the request declined and you may appeal this decision to the Interior Board of Land Appeals (IBLA). You may contact the Utah BLM State Office to determine when BLM receives the request for State Director Review. You have 30 days from the end of the 21 day period in which to file your notice of appeal with the IBLA (see procedures below).

If you wish to bypass a State Director review, this decision may be appealed directly to the IBLA in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 CFR, Part 4 and the enclosed Form 1842-1. If an appeal is taken, your notice of appeal must be filed in this office at 35 East 500 North, Fillmore, UT, 84631 within 30 days from receipt of this decision. The appellant has the burden of showing that the decision appealed from is in error.

If you wish to file a petition pursuant to regulations 43 CFR 4.21 for a stay of the effectiveness of this decision during the time that your appeal is being reviewed by the IBLA, the petition for a stay must accompany your notice of appeal. A petition for a stay is required to show sufficient justification based on the standards listed below. Copies of this notice of appeal and petition for a stay must also be submitted to each party named in the decision and to the Interior Board of Land Appeals and to the appropriate Office of the Solicitor (see 43 CFR 4.413) at the same time the original documents are filed with this

office. If you request a stay, you have the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

Standards for Obtaining a Stay

Except as otherwise provided by law or other pertinent regulation, a petition for a stay of a decision pending appeal shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

1. The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
2. The likelihood of the appellants success on the merits,
3. The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted, and
4. Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

Rex Lowley

Enclosure:

Form 1842-1

cc: Tom Munson, UDOGM (S/023/064, S/027/085)